

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/501,232	Applicant(s) RAGHUPATHY, BALAKRISHNAN GOVINDASAMY	
	Examiner Thomas M. Lithgow	Art Unit 1724	

All Participants:

(1) Thomas M. Lithgow.

(2) Mr. Schaukowitch.

Date of Interview: 15 December 2006

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
6

Prior art documents discussed:
none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The limitations in dependent claim 6 are already recited in independent claim 1. As the limitations are redundant the claim has been canceled.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


THOMAS M. LITHGOW
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1724
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)